

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,797	12/01/2003	Roya Nicole Borazjani	P03250	3703	
23702 75 Bausch & Lomb	590 04/05/2007 Incorporated	EXAMINER			
One Bausch & Lomb Place			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
Rochester, NY 14604-2701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DA	YS	04/05/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary			Application No. Applicant(s)					
			10/724,797	BORAZJANI ET	BORAZJANI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit					
_			Alton N. Pryor	1616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appe	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. tutory period wi will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may Il apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on						
/—	,_							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or el	ection requirement.					
	on Papers		·					
··	•	Evaminor		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
				·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				10 102.			
_	•	ian fanainn m	minnikmd-n 25 H C C	0.440(=) (-1) (0)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	d = = = = = = d =	ha haaa aaastaad					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	n for a list o	f the certified copies no	ot received.				
			·					
Attachment	(s)			•				
_	of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	rO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 1616

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-26, drawn to a composition comprising polyols, hydroxyalkylamines, polymeric surfactants, and disinfecting agents, classified in class 514, subclasses 482,740.
- II. Claims 27-40, drawn to a method of making a composition comprising polyols, hydroxyalkylamines, polymeric surfactants, and disinfecting agents, classified in class 514, subclasses 482,740.
- III. Claims 41-56, drawn to a method of disinfecting eye lens comprising applying a composition comprising a metal compound, an alcohol, and ACE inhibitor to the lens, classified in class 514, subclasses 482,740.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product made can be made by different process such as combining the hydroxyalkylamines, polymeric surfactants and disinfecting agents and adding the polyols to the combined ingredients to arrive at composition having osmolarity of 220 to 380 mOsm/kg.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1616

§ 806.05(h). In the instant case the composition can function as a multipurpose cleanser. See paragraphs 3 and 7 of instant specification.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different mode of operation, e.g., invention II comprises steps on how to make a composition, whereas invention III comprises steps on how to use composition for disinfecting eye contact lens.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Attorney P. Lavoie on 4/2/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1616

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is also being requested to make an election of specific poloy(s), specific hdroxyalkylamines(s), polymeric surfactant(s) and specific disinfecting agent(s) and specific additional or optional components desired for the group elected. If desired additional or optional components are not specifically named or identified claims comprising those optional or additional components will be considered non-elected.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,797 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616